

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 \mathcal{N}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,497	04/18/2001	Hongyi Zhou	EGS10131US	7892	
75	90 09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Jie Sha 195 North Harbe	or Drive, Suite 3504		WU, AL	LLEN S	
Chicago, IL 60	0601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2135		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/837,497	37,497 ZHOU, HONGYI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Allen S. Wu	2135	6
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	(
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 April 2001.		•
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	•	1	is
Disposition of Claims	•	ı	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	e: a)□ accepted or b)☑ object the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: improper grammar on lines 1-2 of claim, "will looks through the table". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 10 recites the limitation "the three version cards" in line 1 of claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 32-35 of fig 2A; 45, 52 of fig 2C; 69 of fig 3A; 65-69 of fig 3B; 64 of fig 4A; 89 of fig 4B; and 104 of fig 6A. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action

Application/Control Number: 09/837,497 Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 14,15 (page 6 In 4) and 16 (page 6 In 25). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2135

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hertzog et al (hereinafter Hertzog), US Publication 2003/0069874, in view of Celik, US Patent 6,374,259.

As per claims 1 and 6, Hertzog discloses a means of controlling privacy in a personal web card system (abstract) comprising:

- a) storing a set of web card information of each individual user of the web card system (paragraph 70);
- b) determining privacy control levels of the web card information of the individual user as selected by the individual user (paragraph 73);
- c) transmitting, at the registration user's initiation, selected web card information of a particular privacy control level to other users (paragraph 74);
- d) storing a table of authorized names of the other users for each level of privacy control (paragraphs 88 and 92);
- e) looking through the table of authorized users' names when any of the other users conducts a search (category tables fig 25 and paragraph 176);
- f) means for generating a corresponding web card of the individual user to the other user once the authorization is confirmed (virtual card, paragraphs 176-177).

Hertzog does not explicitly teach transmitting an authorization code with the selected web card information to other users. Celik discloses a means of

Art Unit: 2135

personal information management with privacy control (abstract) including transmitting an authorization code to others with the web card information (unique identifier (col 4 In 9-19).

Both Celik and Hertzog disclose a means of information management with privacy control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the authorization code of Celik within the system of Hertzog because it would have increased security by only allowing those with correct authorization codes to view personal data.

As per claim 2, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1). Hertzog further discloses wherein means for storing the web card information is part of said database (paragraph 77).

As per claim 3, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1). Hertzog further discloses wherein means for storing a table of authorized names is part of said database (paragraph 77).

As per claim 4, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1). Hertzog further discloses wherein said means of determining privacy control levels and means for looking through the table of authorized user names forms portions of a privacy control unit (client services module, paragraph 176).

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 5, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 1). Hertzog further discloses wherein means for transmitting selected web card information and means for generating a corresponding web card are part of said search engine (paragraph 110-111).

As per claim 9, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 6). Hertzog further discloses at least three versions of web cards corresponding to at least three levels of privacy control of issuance of the web cards (subsets of the information fields as constituting respective virtual cards, paragraphs 72-78).

As per claim 12, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 6). Hertzog further discloses the web card system will transmit from time to time all personal information to other selected and designated users of such information, respectively, in accordance with the authorization given to these users (synchronization, paragraphs 52-53 and 82).

9. Claims 7-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hertzog et al (hereinafter Hertzog), US Publication 2003/0069874, in view of Celik, US Patent 6,374,259, and further in view of McCulligh, US Patent 6,643,784.

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 7, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 6). As for wherein said search server includes a privacy control unit, that determines the status of a searcher who submits a search query, Hertzog discloses registered and unregistered users (paragraphs 101-102) and therefore such a determination of the status of a searcher is inherent to Hertzog. As for automatically giving the searcher a security code if the searcher is determined as a first time searching a predetermined registered user, Hertzog discloses registered and unregistered users wherein registered users must submit a username and password for authentication (paragraph 62). Such automatic generation of a security code (password) during user registration or initial user of an application is well known in the art, as suggested by McCulligh (col 5 ln 36-50).

Both McCulligh and Hertzog-Celik disclose a means of using accessing an application through use of a username and password. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to combine the automatic generation of McCulligh within the Hertzog-Celik combination because it would have increased convenience of the user by such automation of steps. Furthermore, such system generated passwords are well known in the art to be more secure than user entered passwords.

As per claim 8, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 7). Hertzog further discloses wherein said privacy

Art Unit: 2135

control unit will look through the table of authorized user's names to device which level of privacy control is given to the searcher, and then he server will generate a corresponding web card to the searcher (paragraphs 176-177).

As per claim 10, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 7). As for the three version cards being simplified version card for unknown searchers or searchers given limited authorization, and a regular version card for regular social and working contacts, and a detailed version card for family and close friends, Hertzog discloses different version cards for different privacy levels as chosen by a user (paragraph 72) and therefore such version cards.

As per claim 11, Hertzog-Celik discloses the claimed limitations as described above (see claim 7). As for a fourth version web card for emergency information including at least health, medical, insurance, and legal matters, Hertzog discloses publishing personal information. Emergency information including at least health, medical, insurance, and legal matters are well known in the art to be a type of personal information. As for a fourth version web card, Hertzog discloses means of creating different version web cards based on different information chosen by the user. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have recognized such a fourth version web card through the Hertzog-Celik combination.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Veldhuisen, US Patent 6,480,850, discloses a information management system with privacy control.

Douvikas et al, US Patent 6,633,311, discloses a means of providing web cards with privacy control.

Sun, US Publication 2003/0050920 discloses a means of managing and searching data pertaining to web cards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen S. Wu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2135

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Wu Patent Examiner Art Unit 2135

AW

CUPERMSORY PATENT EXAMINATION OF CENTER 2100